

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION**

KEVIN IVORY,

Petitioner,

v.

DAVID DORMIRE,

Respondent.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

No. 4:09-CV-832 CAS

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on petitioner's application for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The petition is successive and shall be summarily dismissed.

Rule 4 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts provides that a district court shall summarily dismiss a § 2254 petition if it plainly appears that the petitioner is not entitled to relief.


Petitioner was sentenced by the state court in 1994. Petitioner sought state and federal habeas relief after the conviction. The denial of petitioner's federal habeas petition was entered by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri on August 27, 1999. See Ivory v. Dormire, No. 4:96CV1760 CAS. Petitioner failed to appeal this ruling.

To the extent that petitioner seeks to relitigate claims that he brought in his original petition, those claims must be denied pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(1). To the extent that petitioner seeks to bring new claims for habeas relief, petitioner must obtain leave from the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit before he can bring those claims in this Court. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A). Petitioner has not been granted leave to file a successive habeas petition in this Court. As a result, the petition shall be dismissed.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's application for writ of habeas corpus is
DISMISSED. [Doc. 1]

An appropriate Order of Dismissal will accompany this Memorandum and Order

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Charles A. Shaw", written in black ink.

CHARLES A. SHAW
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated this 15th day of June, 2009.